# **Tight Dynamic Problem Lower Bounds** from Generalized BMM and OMv Ce Jin and Yinzhan Xu (MIT)

**Summary:** We prove new tight fine-grained lower bounds for various dynamic problems, using combinatorial k-clique hypothesis and (generalization of) OMv hypothesis.

#### Fine-grained Hypotheses we use

#### Combinatorial k-clique hypothesis:

No <u>combinatorial</u> algorithm can detect kclique in an *n*-node graph in  $O(n^{k-\varepsilon})$  time, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Combinatorial algorithm: An informal notion.

## New LB for Dynamic Range Mode

**Our result**: No combinatorial algorithm for *Dynamic Range Mode* can achieve  $n^{2/3-\varepsilon}$  query time,  $n^{2/3-\varepsilon}$  update time and poly(n) preprocessing time, under combinatorial 4-clique hypothesis

A proof template for dynamic lower bounds:		Other results: tight combinatorial LBs for more problems using this proof template:
Static LB from $(k-1)$ -clique hypothesis	CDLMW'14: <i>Static</i> Range Mode $n^{0.5-o(1)}$ (assuming comb. 3-clique)	Dynamic 2D Orthogonal Range Color Counting $n^{2/3-o(1)}$ time (from comb. 4-clique)
Use dynamic operations to efficiently enumerate the extra <i>k</i> -th node		• Dynamic <i>d</i> -Dimensional Orthogonal Range Mode $n^{1-\frac{1}{2d+1}-o(1)}$ time (from comb. (2 <i>d</i> + 2)-clique)
Dynamic LB from <i>k</i> -clique hypothesis	<b>Our result</b> : <i>Dynamic</i> Range Mode $n^{2/3-o(1)}$ (assuming comb. 4-clique)	Dynamic 2-Pattern Document Retrieval $n^{2/3-o(1)}$ time (from comb. 4-clique)

Refers to algorithms that do not use Fast Matrix Multiplication (e.g., Strassen's).

### $OuMv_k$ hypothesis:

For constant integer  $k \ge 2$ , the following problem cannot be solved in  $O(n^{1+k-\varepsilon})$  time, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ :

- · Pre-process a subset  $M \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}^k$
- $\cdot$  Answer *n* <u>online</u> queries:

Given k sets  $U^{(1)}, U^{(2)}, \dots, U^{(k)} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\},\$ Is  $(U^{(1)} \times U^{(2)} \times \cdots \times U^{(k)}) \cap M$  non-empty?





*Proof:* · 4-clique on a (unbalanced) 4-partite graph with  $V = A \uplus B \uplus C \Downarrow D$  where  $|A| = |B| = n^{1/3}$ ,  $|C| = n^{100}$ ,  $|D| = n^{2/3}$ requires  $|A||B||C||D| = n^{4/3} \cdot |C|$  time.

 $\cdot N_D(v)$  denotes the neighbors of v in D, and  $\overline{N_D(v)}$  denotes the non-neighbors of v in D.

· Build the following array, where each blue block contains a permutation of D. There are |A| blue blocks on the left and |B|blue blocks on the right. (CDLMW'14)

Then, the frequency of the mode in this range tells whether  $N_D(a_i) \cap N_D(c) \cap N_D(b_i)$  is non-empty.

 $\cdot$  For  $c \in C$ :

Use |D| updates to build the middle  $N_D(c)$  block ...

For all  $(a_i, b_i) \in A \times B$  such that  $(a_i, b_i, c)$  is a  $K_3$ : Use one query to tell whether this  $K_3$  extends to a  $K_4 = P_{a_i}$ 

 $\cdot$  Total #operations =  $|C| \cdot (|D| + |A| \cdot |B|) = |C| \cdot n^{2/3}$ If preprocessing takes  $< n^{100}$  time, then each operation needs  $n^{2/3}$  time.



New LB for Dynamic Subgraph Connectivity

**Our result:** No combinatorial algorithm for *Dynamic Subgraph Connectivity* can achieve  $m^{2/3-\varepsilon}$  update time,  $m^{1-\varepsilon}$  query time, and poly(m) preprocessing time, under comb. 4-Clique hypothesis

#### [HKNS'15]

## **Problem Definitions**

# **Dynamic Range-Mode:**

- Maintain an integer array  $A[1], A[2], \dots, A[n]$
- Support Insertions and Deletions
- Query *l*, *r*: what is the most frequent element in  $A[l], A[l+1], \dots, A[r]$ ? (breaking ties) arbitrarily)

# **Dynamic Subgraph Connectivity**

- Preprocess a static undirected graph G with medges
- Maintain a dynamic vertex subset S (vertices) that are "on")
- Turn on vertex  $u: S \leftarrow S \cup \{u\}$
- Turn off vertex  $u: S \leftarrow S \setminus \{u\}$
- Query u, v: are u and v connected in the induced subgraph G[S]?

# **Dynamic Skyline Points Counting** • Maintain a set P of n points in $\mathbf{R}^d$



#### Proof:

· 4-clique on a (unbalanced) 4-partite graph with  $V = A \uplus B \uplus C \Downarrow$ D where  $|B| = |C| = m^{1/3}$ ,  $|A| = m^{2/3}$ ,  $|D| = m^{100}$  requires  $|A||B||C||D| = m^{4/3} \cdot |D|$  time.

· Construct the following (static) graph with O(m) edges. · For each  $d \in D$ :

```
Use |A| updates so that a \in A_2 is on iff (a, d) \in E
For b \in B such that (b, d) \in E:
```

Turn on b and turn off all  $B \setminus \{b\}$  (only O(1) updates) For  $c \in C$ :

```
Let c be on iff (c, d), (c, b) \in E
```

```
If s, t are connected then return True
```

#### **Return False**



 $\cdot$  #updates =  $|D| \cdot (|A| + |B| \cdot (1 + |C|)) = |D| \cdot m^{2/3}$  $\cdot$  #queries =  $|D| \cdot |B| = |D| \cdot m^{1/3}$ If preprocessing takes  $< m^{100}$  time, then either update time  $\geq m^{2/3}$  or query time  $\geq m$ .

# LBs for Geometry problems from OuMv\_k Hypothesis

We consider **OuMv**, hypothesis which <u>directly generalizes OMv and OuMv</u> [Henzinger-Krinninger-Nanongkai-Saranurak'15] to higher dimensions. This leads to tight combinatorial LBs for various dynamic geometric problems. Consider the following example:

Chan'03 (adapted) gave a semi-online algorithm for Dynamic Skyline Points Counting in  $\mathbf{R}^{2k-1}$  with  $\tilde{O}(n^{1-1/k})$  update time.

...

- Insertion:  $P \leftarrow P \cup \{x\}$
- Deletion:  $P \leftarrow P \setminus \{x\}$
- Query: how many "skyline points" does P have?
- $x \in P$  is a "skyline point" iff no other  $y \in P$ dominates x (i.e.  $y_i \ge x_i$  for all i = 1, 2, ..., d)

(Semi-online model is between online and offline: When x is inserted, we are told when x will be deleted in the future) **Our result**: this running time is <u>tight</u> under  $OuMv_k$  hypothesis

(The k = 2 case (in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ) based on OMv was recently independently proved by Dallant & Iacono (2021))

Other <u>tight</u> LBs from  $OuMv_k$  hypothesis: · Chan's Halfspace problem in  $\mathbf{R}^{k}$  (Chan'03)  $\cdot k$ -dimensional Erickson's problem  $\cdot (k + 1)$ -dimensional Langerman's problem

# Some Open Questions

- Can <u>Dynamic Subgraph Connectivity</u> have update time better than  $m^{2/3}$  using fast matrix multiplication?
- What is the optimal update time for <u>Dynamic Skyline Points Counting in  $\mathbb{R}^{2k}$ ? (offline algorithms allowed)</u>
- What is the optimal update time for <u>Dynamic Skyline Points Counting in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  without assuming semi-online model?</u> (Chan'20: amortized  $\tilde{O}(n^{2/3})$  algorithm. Our LB:  $n^{1/2-o(1)}$ )